Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,099	ANTHONY, MICHAEL M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William E. Tapolcai	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication (GHTS). This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.	
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally and all black b	been received. been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	• •	complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 6. ○ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) ○ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ○ hereto or 2) □ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) □ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the second sheet. 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-6 Amendment / Comment or in the O 84(c)) should be written on the drawin	office action of ags in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I		
 Attachment(s) 1. ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ⊠ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ⊠ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 2003/07/28 4. □ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendn	e
		William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3744

Art Unit: 3744

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to an aerosol propelled self-cooling container, classified in class 62, subclass 293.
 - II. Claims 6-11, drawn to a method of producing a plastic container, classified in class 29, subclass 897.
 - III. Claim 12, drawn to a plastic perform for a bottle, classified in class 215, subclass 12.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one that does not have the receptacle, stem valve, or liquefied propellant mixture.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one that does not require the blow molding step.

Art Unit: 3744

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination has other details such as the liquefied propellant mixture. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with John Oltman on June 2, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

 Claims 6-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with John Oltman on June 3, 2004.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,099

Art Unit: 3744

The application has been amended as follows: cancel clams 6-12.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the claims are allowable because the prior art of record fails to disclose or teach the recited stem valve for controlling fluid flow between the receptacle and the bottle due to pressure differential between the two.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William E Tapolca
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3744

wet June 3, 2004